



**2021 Pennsylvania Family Council  
Voter's Guide Questionnaire for Judicial Candidates  
Reply Requested by January 29, 2021**

Candidate name: Stacy Wallace

E-mail (for publication): sw@stacyforpa.com

Website (for publication): n/a

Phone (for publication): (814) 558-4590

1. Which of the following U.S. presidents best represents your political philosophy?

- John F. Kennedy
- **Ronald Reagan**
- George Bush (former)
- Bill Clinton
- George W. Bush
- Barack Obama

2. Which one of the recent justices of the U.S. Supreme Court most reflects your judicial philosophy?

- Roberts • Kagan • Scalia • Gorsuch • Kennedy • Thomas • Sotomayor • Kavanaugh • Ginsburg • Breyer • **Alito** • Barrett

3. Rate your judicial philosophy on a scale of 1-10 with “living document” approach being a “1” and “strict construction” or “originalist” being a “10.”

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 **9** 10

4. Please list the five organizations in which you are most involved as a member, through contributions, and/or through volunteering.

1. *Believer's Chapel (My Church)*
2. *Kiwanis Club of Bradford*
3. *McKean County Republican Committee*
4. *Bright Alternatives*
5. *Turning Point with Dr. David Jeremiah*

5. Do you believe that *Roe v. Wade*, 410 U.S. 113 (1973), insofar as it recognizes a “right to privacy” that includes abortion under the United States Constitution, was correctly or incorrectly decided? **(Circle One)**

- **Correctly Decided**
- **Incorrectly Decided**
- **Undecided**
- **Decline to answer (describe reason)**

Throughout my legal career, including my work at the appellate judiciary, I have firmly believed that a judge should never risk even the slightest appearance of partiality on matters which may come before his or her court. Given the current composition of the United States Supreme Court and recent actions by states pertaining to the life/choice issue, this matter has the potential to come before the Commonwealth Court, for which I am a candidate. While I have been endorsed by the Pennsylvania Pro-Life Federation PAC and answering this question may not technically compromise my impartiality, I believe it has the potential to be misconstrued as a preview of how I might rule from the bench. Judges today may find themselves forced to recuse for the mere appearance of impropriety, even if they themselves are unbiased. As a judge I must avoid any such situation which might later cause me to have to recuse myself. Accordingly, I respectfully decline to comment on this question.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ *Stacy Wallace* \_\_\_\_\_

Date: 1/28/21

*Thank you.*

**Please Sign and Reply by January 29, 2021 via Fax 717-545-8107 or E-mail to [ekreps@pafamily.org](mailto:ekreps@pafamily.org)**

Or mail to: Pennsylvania Family Council 23 N. Front St. Harrisburg PA 17101